

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/832,237	04/10/2001	Sung-Ho Choi	678-646 (P9761-US/STN)	8975
75	90 05/16/2005		EXAMINER	
Paul J. Farrell, Esq.			MOORE, IAN N	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Uniondale, NY 11553			2661	•
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/832,237	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ian N. Moore	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommondary of the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	February 2005.					
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-5.7.8.13 and 17-20 is/are pendi 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 and 17-20 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-5.7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/832,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. An objection to the drawings is withdrawn.
- 2. Claims 1,3,4,5,7, and 8 are rejected by the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendment.

Oath/Declaration

3. It is noted that the applicant appeared to submit oath or declaration per a cover letter on July 11, 2001. Yet, <u>a properly signed</u> oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 63, identifying the application by the Application Number and Filing Date, is **still missing**. Examiner is requesting the applicant to resubmit the missing properly signed oath or declaration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Decker (U.S. 6,195,338).

Regarding Claim 1, Decker discloses a method for determining by a UTRAN a persistence value (see FIG. Variables p(i) and/or persistent rule P) for adjusting a number of access preambles (see FIG. access requests) from a plurality of UEs (see FIG. number of active mobile stations M, n(i); see col. 2, lines 50-65) requiring assignment of a common packet channel (CPCH) (see col. 2, lines 35-40; an access channel), the method comprising the steps of:

counting the number of the access preambles detected in an access preamble period having a predetermined period for each transport format (see FIG. and col. 2, lines 44-56; note that the number of access messages/preambles received over a time interval are counted by the mobile radio network for each radio frame/format); and

determining the persistence value based on the number of counted access preambles for each transport format (see FIG. Variables p(i) and/or persistent rule P; see col. 2, lines 49 to col. 3, lines 17; note that the base station B determines the persistence rule P and/or variables p(i) parameters according the counted access messages/preambles requests for each radio frame/format); and

transmitting the determined persistence value to the UEs (see col. 3, lines 18-30; mobile stations n(i)) in a cell controlled by a Node B (see FIG. a base station B within a radio network; see col. 2, lines 44-47; see col. 3, lines 7-35; persistence rule P and/or variables p(i) parameters are send to mobile stations n(i)).

Regarding Claim 5, Decker discloses a method for determining by a UTRAN a persistence value (see FIG. Variables p(i) and/or persistent rule P) for adjusting a

Art Unit: 2661

number of CD (collision Detection) preambles (see FIG. Detection of collision; see col. 2, lines 35-42) from a plurality of UEs (see FIG. number of active mobile stations M, n(i); see col. 2, lines 50-65) requiring assignment of a common packet channel (CPCH) (see col. 2, lines 35-40; an access channel), the method comprising the steps of:

counting the number of the CD preambles detected in an access preamble period having a predetermined period for each transport format (see FIG. and col. 2, lines 44-56; note that the number of collision detection information in the access messages/preambles received over a time interval are counted by the mobile radio network for each radio frame/format); and

determining the persistence value based on the number of counted CD access preambles for each transport format (see FIG. Variables p(i) and/or persistent rule P; see col. 2, lines 49 to col. 3, lines 17; note that the base station B determines the persistence rule P and/or variables p(i) parameters according the counted collision detection information access messages/preambles requests for each radio frame/format);

transmitting the determined persistence value to the UEs (see col. 3, lines 18-30; mobile stations n(i)) in a cell controlled by a Node B (see FIG. a base station B within a radio network; see col. 2, lines 44-47; see col. 3, lines 7-35; persistence rule P and/or variables p(i) parameters are send to mobile stations n(i)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Decker in view of 3GPP'321 (ETSLTS 125 321).

Regarding Claim 3, Decker does not explicitly disclose physical common packet channel.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'321. In particular, 3GPP'321 teaches persistence value is a unit of physical common packet channel (see section 11.3, paragraph 2, 3, and step 8; CMAC-config-REQ consists persistence values which are transmission probability for each Transport format (TF), and each TF is selected based upon CPCH physical channel. Thus, physical CPCH channel is utilized as persistence value).

In view of this, having the system of Decker and then given the teaching of 3GPP'321, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Decker, by providing the persistence values as a probability for each transport format (TF) in each physical CPCH channel, as taught by 3GPP'321. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'321 since 3GPP'321 states at section 11.3, paragraphs 1-3 that such modification would provide a controlling mechanism for

on the CPCH channel obtained using the initial access procedure.

each initial preamble ramping cycle as well as successive preamble ramping cycles and provide a mechanism for each TTI transmission while UE continues to transmit

Page 6

Regarding claim 4, Decker discloses the determining persistence value as described above in claim 1.

Decker does not explicitly disclose CPCH set.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'321. In particular, 3GPP'321 teaches persistence value is a unit of CPCH set (see section 11.3, paragraph 2, 3, and step 8; CMAC-config-REQ consists persistence values which are transmission probability for each Transport format (TF) which is a CPCH set. Thus, physical CPCH set is utilized as persistence value).

In view of this, having the system of Decker and then given the teaching of 3GPP'321, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Decker, by providing the persistence values as a probability for each transport format (TF) which is a CPCH set, as taught by 3GPP'321. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'321 since 3GPP'321 states at section 11.3, paragraphs 1-3 that such modification would provide a controlling mechanism for each initial preamble ramping cycle as well as successive preamble ramping cycles and provide a mechanism for each TTI transmission while UE continues to transmit on the CPCH channel obtained using the initial access procedure.

Regarding Claim 7, the claim, which has substantially disclosed all the limitations of the respective claim 3. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

Regarding Claim 8, the claim, which has substantially disclosed all the limitations of the respective claim 4. Therefore, it is subjected to the same rejection.

Second set of rejection

8. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupont (U.S. 5,729,542) in view of 3GPP'321 (ETSLTS 125 321).

Regarding Claim 1, Dupont'542 discloses a method for determining by a UTRAN a persistence value (see FIG. 4, P-Persistence parameter) for adjusting a number of access preambles (see FIG. 5, number of access requests) from a plurality of UEs (see FIG. 1, mobile station 105) requiring assignment of a common packet channel (CPCH), the method comprising the steps of:

counting the number of the access preambles detected in an access preamble period having a predetermined period for each format (see FIG. 5, access request period which is predetermined access burst period 505; see col. 4, lines 54-56; see col. 6, lines 60 to col. 6, lines 12; note that the number of access messages/preambles within a predefined access burst period are counted by the base station for each frame/format); and

determining the persistence value based on the number of counted access preambles for each format (see FIG. 4, P-Persistence parameters; see col. 4, lines 48-54, 56 to col. 6, lines 12; note that the base station determines the

Application/Control Number: 09/832,237

Art Unit: 2661

persistence/probability parameters according the counted access messages/preambles requests for each frame/format);

transmitting the determined persistence value to the UEs in a cell controlled by a Node B (see FIG. 2, BSS 220 and/or serving GSN 230; see col. 3, lines 35-60; see col. 6, lines 1-10; the persistence/probability parameter are transmitted to the subscribers by BSS/GSN).

Dupont'542 does not explicitly disclose transport format (TF).

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'321. In particular, 3GPP'321 teaches persistence value is a unit of transport format (TF) (see section 11.3, paragraph 2; CMAC-config-REQ consists persistence values, which are transmission probability for each Transport format (TF)).

In view of this, having the system of Dupont'542 and then given the teaching of 3GPP'321, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dupont'542, by providing the persistence values as a probability for each transport format (TF), as taught by 3GPP'321. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'321 since 3GPP'321 states at section 11.3, paragraphs 1-3 that such modification would provide a controlling mechanism for each initial preamble ramping cycle as well as successive preamble ramping cycles and provide a mechanism for each TTI transmission while UE continues to transmit on the CPCH channel obtained using the initial access procedure. Also, as suggested by 3GPP'321, TF value is used as persistence value in order to determine the

Application/Control Number: 09/832,237

Art Unit: 2661

probability of each transport format in each access preamble during CPCH transmission control.

Regarding claim 3, Dupont'542 discloses the determining persistence value as described above in claim 1.

Dupont'542 does not explicitly disclose physical common packet channel.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'321. In particular, 3GPP'321 teaches persistence value is a unit of physical common packet channel (see section 11.3, paragraph 2, 3, and step 8; CMAC-config-REQ consists persistence values which are transmission probability for each Transport format (TF), and each TF is selected based upon CPCH physical channel. Thus, physical CPCH channel is utilized as persistence value).

In view of this, having the system of Dupont'542 and then given the teaching of 3GPP'321, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dupont'542, by providing the persistence values as a probability for each transport format (TF) in each physical CPCH channel, as taught by 3GPP'321. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'321 since 3GPP'321 states at section 11.3, paragraphs 1-3 that such modification would provide a controlling mechanism for each initial preamble ramping cycle as well as successive preamble ramping cycles and provide a mechanism for each TTI transmission while UE continues to transmit on the CPCH channel obtained using the initial access procedure.

Regarding claim 4, Dupont'542 discloses the determining persistence value as described above in claim 1.

Dupont'542 does not explicitly disclose CPCH set.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'321. In particular, 3GPP'321 teaches persistence value is a unit of CPCH set (see section 11.3, paragraph 2, 3, and step 8; CMAC-config-REQ consists persistence values which are transmission probability for each Transport format (TF) which is a CPCH set. Thus, physical CPCH set is utilized as persistence value).

In view of this, having the system of Dupont'542 and then given the teaching of 3GPP'321, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dupont'542, by providing the persistence values as a probability for each transport format (TF) which is a CPCH set, as taught by 3GPP'321. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'321 since 3GPP'321 states at section 11.3, paragraphs 1-3 that such modification would provide a controlling mechanism for each initial preamble ramping cycle as well as successive preamble ramping cycles and provide a mechanism for each TTI transmission while UE continues to transmit on the CPCH channel obtained using the initial access procedure.

9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupont'542 in view of 3GPP (ETSI TS 125 211).

Application/Control Number: 09/832,237

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding Claim 5, Dupont'542 discloses a method for determining by a UTRAN a persistence value (see FIG. 4, P-Persistence parameter) for adjusting a number of access preambles (see FIG. 5, number of access requests) from a plurality of UEs (see FIG. 1, mobile station 105) requiring assignment of a common packet channel (CPCH), the method comprising the steps of:

counting the number of the access preambles detected in an access preamble period having a predetermined period for each format(see FIG. 5, access request period which is predetermined access burst period 505; see col. 4, lines 54-56; see col. 6, lines 60 to col. 6, lines 12; note that the number of access messages/preambles within a predefined access burst period are counted by the base station for each frame/format); and

determining the persistence value based on the number of counted access preambles for each format (see FIG. 4, P-Persistence parameters; see col. 4, lines 48-54, 56 to col. 6, lines 12; note that the base station determines the persistence parameters according the counted access messages/preambles requests for each frame/format);

transmitting the determined persistence value to the UEs in a cell controlled by a Node B (see FIG. 2, BSS 220 and/or serving GSN 230; see col. 3, lines 35-60; see col. 6, lines 1-10; the persistence/probability parameter are transmitted to the subscribers by BSS/GSN).

Dupont'542 does not explicitly disclose transport format and CD (Collision Detection) preambles.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'211. In particular, 3GPP'211 teaches transport format (see page 9, paragraph 3; page 11, paragraph 1; see page 7, paragraph 1; transport format, TF) and CD (Collision Detection) preambles (see FIG. 6; section 5.2.2.2.1 and 5.2.2.2.3; collision detection preamble (CD-P)).

In view of this, having the system of Dupont'542 and then given the teaching of 3GPP'211, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of 3GPP'211, by providing and Transport format and CD-P preambles as one of access preambles in CPCH transmission, as taught by 3GPP'211. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'211 since 3GPP'211 states at section 5.2.2.2.1, that such modification would provide fast acquisition indication based on DSMA-CD approach so that UE can start transmission at a number of well-defined time-offsets, relative to the frame boundary of the received BCH of the current cell. Moreover, by utilizing DSMA-CD approach in CPCH transmission, the system can detect the collision, thereby decreasing the potential collision.

10. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupont'542 and 3GPP'211, as described above in claim 5, and further in view of 3GPP'321 (ETSLTS 125 321).

Regarding claim 7, Dupont'542 discloses the determining persistence value as described above in claim 5.

Dupont'542 does not explicitly disclose physical common packet channel.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'321. In particular, 3GPP'321 teaches persistence value is a unit of physical common packet channel (see section 11.3, paragraph 2, 3, and step 8; CMAC-config-REQ consists persistence values which are transmission probability for each Transport format (TF), and each TF is selected based upon CPCH physical channel. Thus, physical CPCH channel is utilized as persistence value).

In view of this, having the combined system of Dupont'542 and 3GPP'211, then given the teaching of 3GPP'321, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Dupont'542 and 3GPP'211, by providing the persistence values as a probability for each transport format (TF) in each physical CPCH channel, as taught by 3GPP'321. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'321 since 3GPP'321 states at section 11.3, paragraphs 1-3 that such modification would provide a controlling mechanism for each initial preamble ramping cycle as well as successive preamble ramping cycles and provide a mechanism for each TTI transmission while UE continues to transmit on the CPCH channel obtained using the initial access procedure.

Regarding claim 8, Dupont'542 discloses the determining persistence value as described above in claim 5.

Dupont'542 does not explicitly disclose CPCH set.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,237 Page 14

Art Unit: 2661

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are taught by 3GPP'321. In particular, 3GPP'321 teaches persistence value is a unit of CPCH set (see section 11.3, paragraph 2, 3, and step 8; CMAC-config-REQ consists persistence values which are transmission probability for each Transport format (TF) which is a CPCH set. Thus, physical CPCH set is utilized as persistence value).

In view of this, having the combined system of Dupont'542 and 3GPP'211, then given the teaching of 3GPP'321, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Dupont'542 and 3GPP'211, by providing the persistence values as a probability for each transport format (TF) which is a CPCH set, as taught by 3GPP'321. The motivation to combine is to obtain the advantages/benefits taught by 3GPP'321 since 3GPP'321 states at section 11.3, paragraphs 1-3 that such modification would provide a controlling mechanism for each initial preamble ramping cycle as well as successive preamble ramping cycles and provide a mechanism for each TTI transmission while UE continues to transmit on the CPCH channel obtained using the initial access procedure.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 13 and 17-20 are allowed.

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1,3,4,5,7,8,13,17-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian N. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-3085. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

INM

361...

5/19/05